

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8604 of 2018
Date of Decision: 28.02.2018

Poonam Nagpal

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of necessary directions to respondents No.2 and 3 for taking action on the complaints dated 14.07.2017 and 11.09.2017 filed before the Station House Officer, Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

At this stage, without embarking upon merits of the complaints, respondent No.3 is directed to have a fair look on

the complaints. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then appropriate action be taken. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then the complainant/petitioner be informed as per the parameters as laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

In any case, respondent No.3 shall act in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of fact made hereinabove.

Disposed of.

28.02.2018

jyoti

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No