IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10399 of 2014

Date of Decision:12.01.2018

Devinder Singh and others ... Petitioners

Vs.

Union of India and others ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Sharma, Advocate for respondents no.3 and 4.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioners have sought for the following relief:

> *"ii) direct respondents-Union of India and Chandigarh Administration to create such number of posts as were approved vide proposal dated 26.11.2012 (Annexure P-1) with immediate effect;*
>
> *(iii) direct respondents-Union of India and Chandgiarh Administration to increasing the sanctioned posts, in case the creation of Pool of 25% more than the sanctioned posts is also approved and created by the respondent as provided under Rule 8 of the Punjab/Haryana/U.T. Chandigarh Subordinate Courts Establishment (Recruitment and General Conditions of Service) Rules, 2010;*
>
> *iv) direct respondents to consider the petitioners for promotion qua the additional posts which would be create in consonance with the proposal dated 26.11.2012 (Annexure P-1) and/or the posts created under the 25% pool."*

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submitted that Rules Committee on 25.10.2007 has taken a decision and forwarded to the competent authority for taking further action in the matter.

Therefore, competent authority is hereby directed to take

RAJEEV THAKRAL
2018.01.17 15:47
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

further action pursuant to the Rules Committee's report dated 25.10.2017 within a period of three months from today and communicate the decision to the petitioners at the earliest.

With the above observation, petition stands disposed of.

12.01.2018 **(P.B. Bajanthri)**
rajeev **Judge**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No