

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
108**

Date of decision: 22.02.2018

**CRR-2327-2017 &
CRM-20638-2017**

**DHEERAJ AND ANR
V/S
STATE OF HARYANA**

.....PETITIONERS

.....RESPONDENT

**CRR-3087-2017 &
CRM-27631-2017**

**BIRENDER
V/S
DHEERAJ AND ORS**

.....PETITIONER

.....RESPONDENTS

**CRR-2931-2017 &
CRM-26568-2017**

**DHEERAJ
V/S
BIRENDER @ VIRENDER AND OTHERS**

.....PETITIONER

.....RESPONDENTS

**CRM-28868-2017 &
CRR-3243-2017**

**RAJIV AND ANR
V/S
STATE OF HARYANA**

.....PETITIONERS

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

**Present: Mr. O.P.Goyal, Sr. Advocate,
with Ms. Anshika Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s) in CRR-2327-2017 and CRR-2931-2017
and for respondent No. 1 in CRR-3087-2017.**

**Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s) in CRR-3087 and 3243 of 2017.**

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CRM-20638-2017 in CRR-2327-2017

**C.M. is allowed subject to just exceptions. Filing of certified
copy of judgment dated 13.11.2015 passed by the trial Court is dispensed
with.**

CRR Nos. 2327, 3087, 2931 and 3243 of 2017

Learned counsel for the parties submit that in these matters, the petitioners were released on probation by the learned Appellate Court and that probation period is also over.

In the light of the fact that the probation period has also come to an end satisfactorily and there has been no complaint against any of them, these petitions are disposed of as infructuous. However, it is observed that in the light of the release of petitioners Dheeraj and Neeraj, who are stated to be employees or any other petitioners, the conviction under Sections 323 and 324 IPC shall not have any adverse impact on their service career in the light of Section 12 of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958.

February 22, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No