

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No.10367 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 21.03.2018

Public Information Officer

....Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commissioner and another

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Karan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No.2.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.10220 of 2017

This application has been moved for fixing some actual date in the main case as the same has been admitted vide order dated 23.07.2015.

Notice in the application was issued on 31.08.2017.

For the reasons recorded in the application and having no objection from other side, the same is allowed and the main case with the consent of both the parties is taken up today itself for final hearing.

CWP No.10367 of 2014

On perusal of order dated 26.03.2014 passed by the State Information Commissioner, it appears that the Estate Officer, PUDA, Patiala has been directed to supply a consolidate report to the appellant

along with the status of possession of plot and refund of non-construction charges imposed upon the appellant to his satisfaction.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said information would be supplied but the line which has been mentioned in the said order that “it should be to the satisfaction of the appellant” is not appropriate.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, a direction is issued to the petitioner to supply the information as mentioned in order dated 26.03.2014 within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

21.03.2018
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No