

131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8418 of 2018  
Date of Decision: 15.03.2018

Jaswinder Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH***

Present: Mr. Nitin Rampal, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the context of allegations made in the petition, a complaint was made to SHO, P.S. Jalalabad on 13.02.2016 and the same was duly supplemented by representation dated 30.05.2017 before the Deputy Superintendent of Police, P.S. Guruharsahai. Till date, no action has been taken.

Petitioner is an owner of truck. Services of the petitioner were availed by respondent No.4 to take Deoiled Rice Bran (D.O.B.) to Gujarat. The sample of Deoiled Rice Bran was failed and thereafter, on the instructions of respondents No.4 and 6, the material was unloaded in the nearby Gaushala. Thereafter, dispute arose between the parties and respondents

tried to snatch the truck of the petitioner.

Precisely for the grievance in question, aforesaid complaints and representation have been filed by the petitioner before the police authorities.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

In view of nature of order, which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of filing any reply, as no order prejudicial to the interest of either party is being passed.

Without meaning anything on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to have a fair look on the complaints and representation made by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

15.03.2018

*jyoti*

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No