

204.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8389-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:21.08.2018.

SUKHCHARAN SINGH @ KAKA

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Jatinderpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.8 dated 17.01.2018 registered under Sections 120B/419/420/465/467/468/471 IPC at Police Station Civil Lines Bathinda, District Bathinda.

Vide order dated 28.02.2018, petitioner was granted interim bail and was directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in terms of order dated 25.05.2018, petitioner was required to pay an amount of Rs.2.5 lakhs in favour of the complainant, which he deposited with the Registry by way of FDR. He has produced photocopy of FDR issued in the name of one of the complainants i.e. Pushpa Gogia.

In view of the fact that petitioner has made the payment as per his undertaking, the order dated 28.02.2018 whereby the petitioner has been admitted on interim bail, is made absolute.

Learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from Parkash Chand Gogia husband of complainant-Pushpa Gogia, states that the matter has been compromised between the parties and as on date, nothing is to be paid by the petitioner, except the present amount of Rs.2.5 lakhs which needs to be released to the complainant-Pushpa Gogia.

Since the matter has been compromised between the parties, the amount deposited by the petitioner i.e. Rs.2.5 lakhs with the Registry be released in favour of respondent-complainant Pushpa Gogia.

Accordingly, present petition is disposed of.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

21.08.2018
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No