

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8199-2018

Date of decision:-19.4.2018

Narender Singh Rawat

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN**

Present: Mr. Rajinder Singh Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr.Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. MADAAN, J.**

This petition for regular bail has been filed by Narender Singh Rawat – an accused in FIR No.904 dated 23.11.2016 for offences under Sections 420/406/467/468/471/120-B IPC, registered with Police Station 55, District Faridabad.

Briefly stated facts of the case as per prosecution story are that FIR in this case was registered at the instance of complainant

Haripal Dhiman Engi., Corporation Plot No.107, Sector 59,

Ballabgarh, Faridabad.

*Inter alia*, in the application moved by complainant addressed to SP, Faridabad, it was contended that several persons in the form of two teams of which Narender Rawat (petitioner) was the master mind had taken an amount of Rs.1 crore 35 lacs from him by way of cheating giving him allurements that they had article RP and if the complainant purchased the same selling it off to Narender Rawat, then he would earn huge profit. However, those persons did not keep their promise and when complainant asked them to return his money, they refused to oblige. Hence the complaint. The petitioner had moved an application for regular bail, which was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide order dated 6.2.2018, as such, he has approached this Court asking for similar relief.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance. The complainant has also appeared through counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

In the FIR, the petitioner is specifically named and rather described as master mind of the fraud, cheating the complainant of a substantial amount of Rs.1 crore 35 lacs by making misrepresentation and giving false promise, which he never intended to keep.

Learned State counsel has submitted that as a result of investigation it came out that the petitioner is neither representative of JJ Group nor he was authorized to collect amount from the complainant, rather the petitioner/accused and his accomplices misrepresented the facts by using fake letter of the company converting it into agreement cum movement letter issuing receipts for a sum of Rs.15 lacs and Rs.25 lacs which were found to be fake and fabricated and the company has denied any receipt transaction in their account. He has further contended that during the investigation of this case, call details of mobile of petitioner and his co-accused were obtained which revealed that petitioner and co-accused had hatched a conspiracy and that on 5.3.2016, petitioner and Gaurav after hatching conspiracy had induced an agreement cum movement letter for providing advance sum of Rs.20 crores from the company for purchasing antique items rice polisher receiving amount of Rs.15 lacs illegally and have indulged in preparing false documents. It has further come out that Narender Rawat had issued a fake receipt dated 9.3.2016 for a sum of Rs.15 lacs. It was so done by him on behalf of the company but receipt was fake. The petitioner had continued repeating the same action and received the amounts from the complainant on 21.3.2016. He had received a sum of Rs.11 lacs in cash for providing said facilities on 29.3.2016, Rs.25 lacs on 7.4.2016 on behalf of JJ Group, the receipts so issued by him were

found to be fake.

The allegations against the petitioner are very serious and grave. There are reasonable apprehension of his absconding and trying to influence the prosecution witnesses, if granted bail.

Thus, finding no merits in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

19.4.2018  
Brij

**(H.S.MADAAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No**

**Whether reportable : Yes/No**