

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.8119 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.04.2018

Gurdeep Singh

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

By invoking Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has prayed for quashing of FIR No.286 dated 17.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 66A, 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 201 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

In the present case, the FIR was registered on the statement of Sandeep Kumar son of Rakam Singh. Now, dispute between the parties has been resolved.

Vide order dated 26.02.2018, the parties were directed to appear before the trial court to get their statements recorded with regard to genuineness of compromise.

Pursuant thereto, a report has been submitted by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra through the District and Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra wherein it has been reported that statements of the parties have been recorded

and compromise entered between the parties is genuine.

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 has relied upon the judgment of this court i.e. *CRM-M-27003 of 2017* titled as *Satinderpal Singh Vs. UT of Chandigarh and another*, decided on 03.10.2017.

Counsel for the State and respondents No.2 and 3 have not disputed that the parties i.e. petitioner, respondent No.2 (complainant) and respondent No.3 have arrived at a settlement with an intent to give burial to their differences.

Perusal of allegations in the FIR reveals that the present case squarely falls in the category of cases that can be quashed by the High Court, in exercise of its inherent power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Keeping in view authoritative enunciation of law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **'Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another', 2012 (4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 543** and by the Coordinate Bench of this court in case **Satinderpal Singh Vs. UT of Chandigarh and another** and in the light of facts and circumstances discussed hereinbefore, this Court is of the considered opinion that continuation of criminal proceedings would amount to abuse of process of law and it is expedient in the interest of justice that the same are put to an end.

For the foregoing reasons, the petition is allowed, FIR No.286 dated 17.11.2014 for offence punishable under Sections 66A, 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Section 201 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC') registered at Police Station Kurukshetra University, District Kurukshetra and proceedings emanating therefrom stand quashed qua the petitioner.

April 02, 2018
m. sharma

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	yes/no
Whether reportable	:	yes/no