IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8080 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.03.2018

Balkar Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana.

****

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.20 dated 21.01.2018 registered at Police Station Sadar, Yamuna Nagar under Section 8 of POCSO Act and Section 3 of SC/ST Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a false case has been registered and the matter has been reported after 2 days. It was urged that he be allowed to join the investigation and the Sarpanch and Lambardar can vouch for him as there was a payment dispute and the complainant had not paid for 4 months.

The State counsel refers to the earlier orders and states that the case had been adjourned earlier and the State was asked to verify whether

Pooja Sharma
2018.03.16 15:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

there was any money dispute but DSP Desraj appeared on 05.03.2018 and after verification from the villagers and the Lambardar and Ex-Sarpanch, it was found that there was no dispute over the money and the girl is just 13 years old and she had made a statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. It is also stated that earlier the father of the petitioner used to deliver milk and on the day of the incident, the petitioner had come and had touched the girl inappropriately.

Considering the allegations, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The petition stands dismissed.

March 16, 2018 **(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**
ps-I **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

Pooja Sharma
2018.03.16 15:04
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document