

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.34440 of 2017 and
CRR(F) No.333 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision :01.06.2018**

Hitesh Kumar

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Poonam

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Balraj Gujjar, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. WALIA, JUDGE (ORAL),.

CRM No.34440 of 2017

The present application is for listing CRR(F) No.333 of 2016 alongwith CRR No.1308 of 2016.

For the reasons as are mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and the main case is taken on Board today.

CRR(F) No.333 of 2016

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the compromise having been entered amongst the parties and the orders having been passed in CRR No.1308 of 2016 acquitting the petitioner in view of the compromise, application for compounding having been allowed, he does not press the revision petition challenging the enhancement of the maintenance awarded to the complainant-respondent.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the revision petition is dismissed as not pressed.

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

June 01, 2018

ps