

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8030-2018

Date of decision: 23.02.2018

Inderpreet Singh

... Petitioner

VS

Tarsem

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sahil Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner prays for quashing of order dated 24.01.2018 (Annexure P-7) whereby the complainant's evidence has been closed by order.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity of calling upon the respondent.

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner be granted one more opportunity to conclude his evidence subject to payment of costs.

The prayer of the petitioner is accepted. The order dated 24.01.2018 is set aside and the trial Court is directed to grant one more opportunity to the petitioner/complainant to conclude his evidence subject to payment of costs of Rs.10,000/- to the respondent-accused.

23.02.2018

Dinesh

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/non-speaking? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No