

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR(F)-271-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 07.05.2018

Shweta

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Yogesh Khurana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

This petition has been directed against the order dated 29.04.2016 vide which maintenance of Rs.3,000/- had been allowed to the petitioner. The petitioner seeks modification of the order.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner at length.

The respondent is stated to be serving as a Clerk. His salary slip (Ex.P-1) was tendered in evidence by the petitioner. According to Ex.P-1, the income is Rs.6,689/- per month. The petitioner before the Court below had submitted that the husband was getting rental income but the evidence led revealed that the rental income was coming to the mother of the respondent. The income of the mother could not be added to the income of the husband. The trial Court had allowed Rs.3,000/- per month to the petitioner which was sufficient looking at the income. There is no additional material available with the petitioner even now to show that the respondent

had income from other sources. In these circumstances, no case for enhancement is made out.

The petition is dismissed.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

07.05.2018
Sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No