

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CrI. Misc. No. M-7902 of 2018

Date of Decision: February 23, 2018

Kuldeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that a complaint had been made to the police regarding the criminal offences committed by the accused namely Amarjit Kaur and her husband Balwinder Singh. The said complaint was enquired into and a finding was given by the Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, City-2, Amritsar that cognizable offences are made out. Opinion of the D.A. Legal was also sought and the said opinion concurred with the finding of Additional Deputy Commissioner of Police, Amritsar. Yet, no action is being taken by respondent No. 3

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to take appropriate action in accordance with law upon the aforementioned enquiry report and legal opinion within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 23, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No