

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.267

CRR-1619-2017
Date of decision : 22.02.2018

Jitender

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Anand Parkash and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Anand Parkash son of Ranjit Singh, Ranjit Singh son of Data Ram and Udai Singh @ Monu son of Ranjit Singh were convicted under Sections 323 and 325 IPC vide judgment dated 11.09.2015. Vide order dated 14.09.2015, Ranjit Singh son of Data Ram was released on probation. Anand Parkash son Ranjit Singh was sentenced to RI for three months under Section 323 IPC and RI for three months under Section 325 IPC, whereas Udai Singh @ Monu son of Ranjit Singh was sentenced to undergo RI for forty five days under Section 323 IPC.

Anand Parkash and Udai Singh @ Monu appealed against the aforementioned judgment of conviction and order of sentence and vide judgment dated 11.01.2017, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul, set aside the sentence awarded by the trial Court and released them on probation.

The petitioner-complainant has filed the present revision petition against the judgment dated 11.01.2017 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any illegality, irregularity or impropriety in the exercise of its jurisdiction by

the Additional Sessions Judge, Narnaul. He has also not been able to point out any perversity in the said judgment. Thus, I do not find any ground to interfere in the impugned judgment dated 11.01.2017.

The revision petition is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 22, 2018
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No