

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7781 of 2018

Date of decision : 25.09.2018

Surinder Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rashmi Attri, AAG, Punjab.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that the trees were cut at his instance, whereas no specific role has been attributed to him. He was not found to be present at the place of occurrence and no tree was cut by him. Co-accused who cut the trees has already been released on regular bail. Nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner. He has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rashpal Singh has not disputed the factum of release of the main accused on regular bail and also joining of investigation by the petitioner but she opposes the bail only on the ground that many cases of similar nature are also there against the petitioner.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and the main

accused has been released on regular bail, the interim order dated 22.02.2018 passed in his favour is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case of similar nature, the State is at liberty to move application for cancellation of bail.

Disposed of.

25.09.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No