

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR-925 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.10.2018

Shingara Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

M/s Harish Girdhar & sons and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present:- Mr. Sahil Khunger, Legal Aid Counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. A.K. Sama, Advocate, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Harpreet Multani, AAG, Punjab.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Learned legal-aid counsel submits that CRR-1096 of 2016 has also been filed by the petitioner through a private counsel against the same judgment, which has been challenged in the instant revision.

In view of above, instant revision is dismissed as two revisions against the single judgment are not maintainable. However, this order shall not be *res judicata* for the petitioner to argue on merit in that revision.

Registry is directed to consign revision to the record room being not maintainable.

**(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE**

October 17, 2018

R.S.

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No