

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

CRM-M No.7747 of 2018
Date of Decision: April 5th, 2018

Nasir

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was not aware of the order declaring him a proclaimed offender and it is now that the petitioner has come to know that there is a proclamation order passed by the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Nuh, dated 23.12.2006 (Annexure P-2).

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner, states that the petitioner would appear before the Court and surrender to its jurisdiction and move an application for grant of regular bail, which may be considered and decided at an early date.

In case the petitioner surrenders before the trial Court and highlights the detailed position with regard to the other co-accused, who were also declared proclaimed offender and had got the benefit of the order dated 14.01.2009 (Annexure P-3) passed by this Court in *Crl. Misc. No.M-19134 of 2008* titled as *Samma and another Versus State of Haryana*, who have been ultimately acquitted by the trial Court after the trial, the Court proceed to take that into consideration.

On surrender of the petitioner, he shall be admitted to interim bail till a final decision is taken on the application of the petitioner

for grant of regular bail.

With these observations, the present petition stands disposed of.

April 5th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No