

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-14055-2017 and
CRR-1540-2017
Date of Decision: 24.08.2018**

Sukhbir Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

Yog Raj Singh and another

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Juhi, Advocate for
Mr. K.S. Dhillon, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J

The petitioner had filed a complaint under the Domestic Violence Act which was dismissed on 01.07.2014. An appeal was preferred against the order which was dismissed on 16.07.2016. This revision has been filed with a delay of 175 days.

Notice regarding condonation of delay was given to the respondents.

Reply to the application for condonation of delay has been filed in the Court, which is taken on record.

I have heard counsel for the parties.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the revision could not be filed on time as the petitioner could not arrange the expenses and

therefore, delay of 175 days occurred.

Counsel for the respondents contends that no ground for condoning the delay is made out and shortage of funds is not a ground to condone the delay.

The petitioner was represented by lawyers throughout. Upon dismissal of the complaint, an appeal was preferred. The ground taken in the petition is that the petitioner did not have sufficient funds to file revision.

Party has to explain sufficient reasons for not approaching the Court on time and what prevented her to approach the Court. The application is to be disposed of only within the parameters laid down by the Courts.

The petitioner has been unable to give sufficient reasons to condone the delay. Therefore, the petition is dismissed. As a consequence the revision is also dismissed.

August 24, 2018

ps-I

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No