

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7626-2018

Date of Decision: 28.03.2018

Buta Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Gurpreet Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the order dated 13.03.2018, learned counsel for the petitioner has produced in Court today an order of the learned Judge, Special Court, Bathinda, dated 12.03.2018, admitting the petitioner to bail upon him furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1 lakh with a surety in the like amount, on also furnishing proof of immovable property of the surety.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that infact the petitioner did furnish the aforesaid bail bonds and the surety and consequently, he has been admitted to interim bail.

The report of the learned trial Court had already been received earlier, stating to the effect that as the petitioner had not appeared on 13.10.2017, his bail order was cancelled and the bail and surety bonds were forfeited and therefore, upon the application for exemption from personal appearance on the next date of hearing having been filed, that had to be dismissed in view of the forfeiture of the bail bonds.

The reasoning given the learned trial Court is absolutely sound. However, the petitioner already having been admitted to interim bail by that Court on orders of this Court, whether or not the orders are to be made absolute or not, will be considered by the trial Court itself, on merits.

This petition is disposed of.

March 28, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO