

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7599 of 2018
Decided on: 16.04.2018**

Mrs. Venkat Raman Narayani

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of complaint No.SC-63, instituted on 08.12.2016, registered under Sections 18 and 27 of Drugs & Cosmetic Act, 1940 and the order dated 18.01.2018 (Annexure P5) vide which the application of the petitioner for discharge, has been dismissed.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner restricts his arguments only with regard to granting exemption from personal appearance on the ground that the petitioner-accused is residing at New Delhi and it is difficult for her to appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing and seeks permission to approach the trial Court for raising all the pleas/defences and to avail alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner will appear through her counsel before the trial Court and will not raise any objection subsequently for arguments on miscellaneous application; for recording any evidence etc. in her absence. However, in case the petitioner's counsel fail to cooperate with

the proceedings before the trial Court, it will be open for the trial Court to direct for her personal appearance before the trial Court.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court that in case an application under Section 205 Cr.P.C. is filed by the petitioner, the Court will grant exemption from personal appearance to the petitioner on the aforesaid conditions or any other condition laid down by the trial Court.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.04.2018
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No