

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-751 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 01, 2018

Parshant Srivastava

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Deepak Jain and Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocates
for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG Haryana.

Mr. Devender Punia, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.190 dated 02.12.2017, under Sections 376(2)(n), 354-C, 354-D, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Women, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and on the direction of this Court, has joined the investigation. It is also contended that nothing is to be recovered from him.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the grant of

anticipatory bail to the petitioner, while submitting that the offences alleged against the petitioner are serious in nature.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer submits that petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for the custodial interrogation.

In view of the facts that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation and has joined the investigation, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 09.02.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i) (ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

August 01, 2018
vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
Yes/No