

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(i) Criminal Misc. No.M-7498 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 01.06.2018

Malkiat Singh
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

(ii) Criminal Misc. No.M-7650 of 2018 (O&M)

Balwinder Kumar
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

(iii) Criminal Misc. No.M-9922 of 2018 (O&M)

Chander Mohan
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab
... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Navjot Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in Criminal Misc.Nos.7498 & 9922 of
2018.

Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in Criminal Misc.No.M-7650 of 2018.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S.Nalwa, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

This order shall dispose of Criminal Misc. No.M-7498 of
2018, Criminal Misc. No.M-7650 of 2018 and Criminal Misc. No.M-9922
of 2018, filed by the petitioners under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of
anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0005 dated 18.01.2018, registered under

Sections 406, 120-B, 420 IPC at Police Station City-I, Mansa, District Mansa.

The FIR has been registered on the application of Mohinder Singh son of Jagir Singh, a truck operator, against the petitioners and other persons. It is alleged in the application that petitioners in connivance with their associates have embazzled an amount of Rs.18,00,000/-, being the members of the Managing Committee of the Truck Union, Mansa.

In Criminal Misc.No.M-7498 of 2018, status report by way of affidavit of Karanveer Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Mansa has been filed. Same is taken on record. In the said report, misappropriation of huge amount by the members of the committee has been shown. Undisputedly, all the petitioners namely Malkit Singh, Balwinder Singh and Chander Mohan were the members of the Managing Committee of the truck union.

The serious allegations have been levelled against them. Police wants to recover the record of the truck union and ill-gotten money from them.

To the mind of this Court, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is necessary. Therefore, they are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the aforesaid petitions stand dismissed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

01.06.2018
mamta-M

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No