

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7470-2018

Date of Decision :- 19.04.2018

Kulwant Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Harkaran Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners – Kulwant Kaur and others have brought the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 15 dated 24.01.2012, for offences under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga, against them, alongwith consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise, stated to have been effected between them and complainant Amrik Singh - arrayed as respondent No.2.

When the petition came up for hearing on 21.02.2018, notice of motion was ordered to be issued. The respondent No. 1 - State of Punjab through State counsel, whereas respondent No.2 through Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate, had put in appearance. Then in light of the contention that parties have since effected compromise, they were directed to put in appearance before the trial Court to get their statements recorded with regard to compromise and the trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court.

Report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Moga through District and Sessions Judge, Moga, in terms of which complainant-Amrik Singh and accused, namely, Kulwant Kaur, Nasib Singh, Buta Singh, Fauja Singh, Surender Singh, Gulzar Singh and Harpreet Singh had appeared there and their statements were recorded, in terms of which they have admitted to have entered into a voluntary compromise, with free will, without any pressure, threat or undue influence. Further complainant has stated that he has no objection if the FIR in question is quashed by this Court. There is nothing on record to doubt the genuineness of the compromise so arrived at between the parties. Alongwith the report statement of the complainant and all the accused, in original, have been annexed.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned State counsel, besides going through the record.

The dispute between the parties has been resolved amicably, which appears to have been arrived at between them voluntarily without any threat or coercion and in terms of ratio of the authority reported as **Kulwinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others** 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, where in para 28, it has been held as under :-

“The compromise, in a modern society, is the sine qua non of harmony and orderly behaviour. It is the soul of justice and if the power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is used to enhance such a compromise which, in turn, enhances the social amity and reduces friction, then it truly is “*finest hour of justice*”.”

It has been observed that High Court has power to quash prosecution in order to achieve ends of justice and to prevent abuse of process of law. Though such powers are unlimited but those are to be exercised sparingly and with utmost

care and caution. Though there is no statutory bar which can effect the inherent power of High Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

The compromise is in interest of peace and tranquility in the society and for such like reasons this Court can quash the FIR and ancillary proceedings exercising power under Section 482 Cr.P.C., it appears to be a fit case to exercise such powers.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the abovesaid FIR alongwith ancillary proceedings are hereby quashed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

19.04.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No