

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7376 of 2018
Date of decision: 21.02.2018**

Patram and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners restricts his argument, at this stage, only to the extent that a direction be issued to the Investigating Officer to decide the representation (Annexure P4) vide which it is stated that petitioner No.1 – Patram and petitioner No.2 – Ram Kumar @ Ram Kanwar Singh were not present at the spot and have relied upon certain documents (Annexures P2 and P3).

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the Investigating Officer to decide the representation (Annexure P4) filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law after considering the documents (Annexures P2 and P3) and in case the same are found to be genuine, the Investigating Officer will submit a report in this regard before the trial Court.

Needful be done within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

21.02.2018
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No