

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-7355 of 2018.

Date of Decision: 02.05.2018.

Vikas Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Kumar Sangwan, DAG Haryana
assisted by ASI Sumer Singh.

Complainant with victim in person.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

Mr. Robin Singh Hooda, Advocate, who is present in the Court is appointed as Amicus Curiae to assist this Court on behalf of the victim. A complete set of paper book has been handed over to the learned Amicus Curiae. The Amicus Curiae shall be paid remuneration by Legal Services Authority, Haryana. The matter will be again taken up at the end of the cause list.

This is second bail application filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C seeking bail in case FIR No.274 dated 30.05.2017 registered under Sections 376 and 506 IPC and Section 6 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Rai, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case on account

of the matrimonial dispute. He is in custody since 31.05.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

It is submitted by the learned Amicus Curiae that the offence is serious and grave, therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the grant of concession of bail.

Heard.

The victim and the complainant have been heard in the chamber.

The conscience of the Court is satisfied that no case of bail is made out.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

02.05.2018.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No