

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7300 of 2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.02.2018

Saroop Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that despite moving complaint before S.H.O, Police Station Kharkhoda on 10.11.2017, no action has been taken till date. Earlier to filing complaint before S.H.O, a representation was also moved before respondent No.2 on 10.05.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. S.P. Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits

of the case, this petition can be disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to have a fair look on the complaint filed before S.H.O and representation filed before him by the petitioner. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then in such an eventuality, respondent No.3 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, then the complainant/petitioner be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).** It is made clear that respondent No.2 shall take appropriate steps without being influenced by any statement of fact recorded hereinabove.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

20.02.2018

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No