

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-7278-2018
Date of decision: 26.02.2018

Pardeep and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Nonish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in FIR No.649 dated 26.06.2017 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that co-accused of the petitioners namely Nitin, Vijay Kumar and Dalbir had filed CRM-M-28235-2017, in which a direction was issued on 15.09.2017 that they will deposit an amount of Rs.1.00 lac with the Investigating Officer and the said amount will be disbursed to the complainant/victim against a receipt. Thereafter, vide order dated 23.10.2017, on the statement made by learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Narinder Singh, that all the complainants, who were 42 in number, have submitted an affidavit before him acknowledging that the petitioners have paid the money back to them and the interim bail granted to the aforesaid co-accused namely Nitin, Vijay Kumar and Dalbir was confirmed. It

is further submitted that as per compromise (Annexure P-1), the matter stands settled between the parties.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ram Avtar, has not disputed the factual position that the complainants have been paid the amount and they have given the affidavit.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of and the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 05.03.2018 at 10.00 am or any other date as fixed by the Investigating Officer to join the investigation and he shall be released on interim bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds subject to the following conditions: -

- 1. He shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and*
- 3. He shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.*

26.02.2018

vishnu

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No