

**Crl. Misc. No.M-7098 of 2018 (O&M)**

**1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-7098 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 25.04.2018**

**Jatin Chopra and others**

**.....Petitioner(s)**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**...Respondent(s)**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Ashit Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sansar Kundu, Advocate  
for respondent nos.2 & 3.

\*\*\*\*

**ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)**

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No. 175 dated 20.03.2016 registered under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506, 34 IPC, Police Station Jind City, District Jind and the consequent proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of settlement/compromise arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

It is pertinent to mention here that during the pendency of connected petition (CRM-M-34536-2016), the parties have entered into compromise before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 19.12.2017. Affidavits of complainant and his daughter (respondents no.2 & 3), reiterating the factum of compromise, have been placed on record.

**Crl. Misc. No.M-7098 of 2018 (O&M)**

**2**

Learned counsel for the petitioners has urged that the parties have decided to settle the dispute by entering into a compromise and affidavits of complainant Krishan Kumar Khurana and his daughter-Gesu have been filed, wherein the factum of the parties having entered into compromise before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre is reiterated. It is prayed that in view of the compromise, the FIR and further proceedings may be quashed.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant states that the complainant side has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions submits that petitioners are the only accused and respondent no.2 & 3 are the only aggrieved persons in this FIR.

In the instant case, the parties have amicably settled their dispute. No useful purpose would be served to keep the FIR pending.

In view of the compromise and the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, approved by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303**, the instant petition is allowed and the aforesaid FIR and all consequent proceedings conducted on the basis thereof are quashed.

25.04.2018  
sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No