

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.7072 of 2018(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.02.2018

Jagir Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Ish Puneet Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of directions to the official respondents in the context of protecting his life and personal liberty from being invaded by respondents No.3 to 8.

Earlier CRM-M No.27361 of 2010 was filed by the petitioner in the High Court which was ordered to be disposed of vide order dated 16.09.2010 with a liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation/complaint before S.S.P. Ludhiana within one month. In the event of filing such representation, it was ordered to be disposed of with the following directions:-

“It is not apparent from the record that there is any threat to the life of the petitioner on account of the family dispute. Petitioner seems to have not filed any representation to the S.S.P regarding any threat to his life or for protection.

The petition is disposed of with liberty to the

petitioner to submit an appropriate representation/complaint before the S.S.P, Ludhiana, within a period of one month. In case any such representation is moved and no action is taken, it will be open to the petitioner to approach this Court again.”

Thereafter, family of the petitioner was assaulted by the private respondents which led to filing of a criminal complaint under Sections 452, 323, 506, 34 IPC and the private respondents were convicted and sentenced vide judgment dated 08.12.2016.

Petitioner feels apprehension at the hands of the private respondents and for that, representations dated 23.01.2017 and 27.11.2017 have been filed before respondent No.1.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Hittan Nehra, Addl., AG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondent/State.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, this petition can be disposed of by directing

respondent No.1 to have a fair look on the representation made by the petitioner and pass appropriate order in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of facts made hereinabove.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

21.02.2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)

Prince

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No