

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-7002 of 2018
Date of decision:19.3.2018**

Yash Pal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Sonia Rani, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (ORAL)

Present petition is filed praying for quashing FIR No. 154
dated 30.12.2017 under Sections 66 (D) and 67 (A) of the Information
and Technology Act, 2000 registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District

Sangrur on the basis of compromise entered into between the parties.

2. Heard learned counsel for both the parties and perused the paper book.

3. Both the parties were directed by this Court vide order dated 19.02.2018 to appear before the learned Illaqa Magistrate and get their statements recorded and in pursuance thereof, learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Dhuri recorded the statements of both the parties and submitted a report dated 07.03.2018 and operative part of the same reads as under:

'Accordingly, in view of the statements of complainant Nishi Goyal and accused Yashpal Mittal and Gagandeep Mittal, I am of the view that the compromise between the parties is genuine, voluntary or without any pressure or undue influence. As per the report of Ahlmad no accused has been declared as proclaimed offender in the present FIR and number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR are two.'

A perusal of the report reveals that the compromise entered into between the parties is voluntary and without any fear, coercion, inducement or threat. Even before this Court also, the parties are not disputing the factum of compromise arrived at between them.

4. No objection has been raised by the learned State counsel and learned counsel for respondent No.2 on a specific query put to them by the Court with regard to quashing of the FIR as well as all other

consequential proceedings on the basis of the compromise effected between the parties in this case.

5. In view of the above, this Court is fully convinced that the offences are entirely personal in nature and did not affect any public peace or tranquillity and thus quashing of FIR in question along with all consequential proceedings on the basis of compromise would bring peace and harmony to secure the ends of justice. Consequently, the aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings resulting therefrom are quashed qua petitioner(s).

6. Petition is allowed.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

19.03.2018
rashmi

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1. | Whether reportable? | Yes / No |
| 2. | Whether reasoned / speaking? | Yes / No |