

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 6991 of 2018

DATE OF DECISION :- February 19, 2018

Silky and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Harayana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present:- Mr. Mohan Singh Rana, Advocate for the petitioners.

It is contended that petitioner no. 1 Silky aged about 18 years has married petitioner no. 2 Rinku Kumar, aged about 23 against the wishes of family members arrayed as respondents no. 4 and 5 in the petition, who have been interfering in their marital life. Petitioners apprehend danger to their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents, therefore, they have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 Superintendent of Police, Ambala to take necessary steps to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardised at the hands of respondents no. 4 and 5. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

February 19, 2018

p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No