

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-6976-2018

Date of Decision:31.07.2018

Sonali Mittal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Rapton, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

**Mr. R.S. Khosla, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Aman Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.8, 10 and 11.**

**Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate,
for respondent No.9.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.8, 10 and 11 states that the grievance of the petitioner has already been forwarded to the Vigilance Cell vide letter dated 14.03.2018 by the Deputy Commissioner, Bathinda. In this manner, the Vigilance Department is already seized with the matter. He further states that copy of the letter dated 14.03.2018, whereby the matter has been sent to the Vigilance Cell has already been sent to the petitioner as well.

In view of the fact that the matter has been referred to Vigilance Cell, no further action is required to be taken in the matter and the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 31, 2018
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No