

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-315-2006

Date of decision : 21.09.2018

Mrs. Surinder Mehta

... Appellant

Versus

Sham Sunder and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to notice having been issued to the appellant in view of the fact that none was appearing for her, and Mr. Dinesh Kumar Mittal, in whose favour a power of attorney was on record, had been appointed as an Additional District & Sessions Judge some years ago, the report of the Registry is that the factory shown as the address of the appellant in the memo of parties, has remained locked for a long time.

That being so and none having appeared for the appellant for a long time, ever since February 03, 2009, there is no choice but to dismiss the appeal in default; with, however, liberty granted to the appellant to file an application for restoration within a reasonable time.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2018.

D.K./dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No