

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-690-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.09.2018**

Devinder Dass @ Bindi

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Preetwinder Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms. Ruchika Sabharwal, AAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in this case recovery was from a car and no independent witness joined nor a gazetted officer was called at the spot and that the second accused Bahadur Singh was found innocent during trial.

However, learned State counsel states that recovery is commercial. Even supplementary challan against Bahadur Singh has been filed.

Keeping in view the fact that under Section 27 of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, this Court cannot go into merits of the case at this stage, there is no ground to grant bail.

The present petition is dismissed accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner wants to withdraw this petition at this stage. Since, the same has been dismissed on merits, there is no ground to withdraw the same.

21.09.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No