

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.6867 of 2018
Date of Decision: 17.02.2018

Dilbagh Singh and anotherPetitioners
Vs
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. H.S. Jalal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioners have shown their grievances against respondent No.7 who was instrumental in filing number of complaints against them.

Number of complaints moved by respondent No.7 were enquired into by the police authority in hierarchy and the same were found to be unfounded. Still respondent No.7 is persisted in his evil design, which ultimately prompted the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar Range, Jalandhar on 01.04.2017. No action has been taken so far.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned

counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondent/State.

At this stage, it would be just and appropriate to ask respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation in accordance with law without being influenced by any statement of facts made hereinabove. Inspector General of Police, Jalandhar would also be at liberty to see whether multiple inquiries by the officers in heirarchy are permissible according to the instructions issued by D.G. Punjab in the year 2008.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

17.02.2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No