

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11300 of 2013
Date of Decision : 26.03.2018**

Amit Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.R.Bansal, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned State counsel has produced the original records which shows that the last candidate, in the category of the petitioner, who has since been selected to the post of Clerk had obtained 60.18 marks, whereas the petitioner had secured 50.65 marks as regards his academic qualification and 8 marks in the interview i.e. 58.65 marks. Thus, he failed to qualify for selection.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition and the same be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

26.03.2018

Manoj Bhutani

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No