

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6861-2018

Date of decision:-23.4.2018

Sandeep Singh @ Love

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisht, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by Sandeep Singh @ Love – an accused in FIR No.429 dated 7.10.2017, under Sections 379/B/323/341/506/411 IPC, registered with Police Station Basti Jodhewal, Ludhiana City.

Briefly stated, the facts of the case as per prosecution version are that on 6.10.2017 at about 8:25 p.m. when complainant Pankaj Kumar had reached near turning point of his house, then two young boys riding on a motorcycle intercepted him; the pillion rider took out a *DATAR* and gave a blow with the same hitting the complainant on his left eye; he also

snatched mobile phone of the complainant and gave it to his accomplice driving the motorcycle, then the boy driving the motorcycle alighted therefrom and took out a sum of Rs.5,000/- from the pocket of complainant; when the complainant raised an alarm and people started gathering there, the motorcyclists fled from the spot. In his statement made to the police, the complainant stated that the culprits were known to him and they were Rohit @ Gutti and Love. Formal FIR was recorded on the basis of statement of complainant. Accused were arrested. The petitioner had moved an application for regular bail, which was dismissed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ludhiana vide order dated 4.12.2017, as such, he has approached this Court asking for similar relief.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record.

The petitioner is specifically named in the FIR. He along with his co-accused had not only snatched mobile phone and money from the complainant but also caused injuries to him on vital part. The incidents of snatching are increasing day by day with the result it is not safe for the people to commute on the roads especially at the night time. Such type of person does not deserve concession of regular bail since there is every likelihood of his taking to path of crime again and trying to influence the prosecution witnesses and even absconding, if granted bail.

Thus, finding no merits in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

However, while parting with the discussion, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

23.4.2018

Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No