

217.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6858-2018
Date of Decision: 26.02.2018

Rohit SethiPetitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sandeep Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S.Walia, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
assisted by ASI Narinder Singh.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner acted on the instructions of his superior, one Dalbir Singh, to issue receipts in the name of Manish Kumar, which instructions he acted upon.

Learned State counsel on instructions submits that as a matter of fact the petitioner signed as Manish Kumar, on the receipts issued to customers of the complainant company, and as such his culpability cannot be ignored.

Having considered the aforesaid contentions, even if the petitioner was acting on the strength of the instructions issued to him by his superior, it is not understood why he would sign as Manish Kumar on the receipts, he being Rohit Sethi.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed at this stage.

However, nothing stated hereinabove will be taken to be an expression on merits of the case for or against the petitioner, which would be

gone into by the trial Court as per the evidence led before it, with all observations made hereinabove being only in the context of the petition seeking bail.

February 26, 2018

dharamvir/dinesh

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
Whether Reportable : YES/NO