

113

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.6790 of 2018
Date of Decision: 28.03.2018

Resham Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior D.A.G., Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of Affidavit of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka, District Fazilka, is filed by Ld. State Counsel.

2. It is categorically mentioned in Para 1 of the Reply on merits, *“The petitioner and his family members are not required by the police of P.S. Khuian Sarwar in any case and no application is pending against them. The false allegations have been levelled against the respondent No.3 S.H.O. P.S. Khuian Sarwar. The petitioner and his family members were never implicated in any false case.”*

3. In the view of this Court, any apprehensions on the part of the petitioner regarding any danger to the life and liberty of himself or his

family members would appear to be misplaced.

4. However, the matter is disposed off with a direction that in the event, the petitioner or his family members are required to be investigated in future in connection with any complaint to be lodged on behalf of private respondent No.4, they may be given adequate notice under Section 160(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

28.03.2018

Apurva

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No