

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.6699 of 2018
Date of Decision: 17.02.2018

Daljit Singh

....Petitioner

Vs

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. Karan S. Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3 for taking appropriate action against the private respondents on the ground that in execution of orders passed by the competent Canal Authority, the petitioner along with officials of the Canal Department and the police went to the spot for taking possession of water course.

Respondent No.5 who is in police department, came to the spot on a tractor and after raising *lalkara* tried to crush the petitioner under the wheels of the tractor. Repeated efforts were made. Petitioner had a narrow escape. Since respondents No.4 and 5 are the police officials, therefore, a representation was filed by the petitioner to respondent No.2, but no action has been taken till date. Father of respondents No.4 and 5 has also tried to twist the story by making a complaint to respondent No.2

on 17.11.2017.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let requisite copies of petition be supplied to him by learned counsel for the petitioner during course of the day.

In view of nature of order which this Court proposes to pass there is no necessity of calling upon any reply from respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case and the complaint made by either sides, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to have a fair glance over the grievances espoused by both the parties and to act in accordance with law. If any cognizable offence is found to have been committed, then in such an eventuality, respondent No.3 can be asked to act in accordance with law. If no cognizable offence is found to have been committed by the private respondents, then the complainant/petitioner be informed as per parameters laid down in **Lalita Kumari v. Govt. of U.P. (2014) 2 SCC (1).**

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

17.02.2018

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Prince

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No