

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-9751-2017

Date of decision : 18.04.2018

Karnal Sanjay

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr.Deepak Raghava, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By this petition, FIR No.254 dated 16.12.2012, registered against the petitioner at Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 120-B IPC, is sought to be quashed, on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner (accused) and respondent no. 2, i.e. the complainant. A copy of the deed of compromise has been annexed as Annexure P-2 with the petition.

Pursuant to the order dated 23.03.2017, the report of learned JMIC, Faridabad (nomenclature wrongly given as Civil Judge (Jr.Div.) in the report), dated 11.04.2017, is on record, to the effect that the statements of the petitioner as also the complainant, Kulbir, have been recorded to the

As per the assessment of the learned Magistrate, the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary.

On query, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Dinesh Kumar, submits that there is no other criminal case registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 also does not deny the factum of the compromise between the said respondent-complainant and the petitioner.

That being so, the petition is allowed and FIR no.254 dated 16.12.2012, registered at Police Station Sector 31, Faridabad, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 406, 120-B IPC , along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

April 18, 2018.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No