

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6676-2018

Date of decision : 14.03.2018

Nitin Kumar Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.J.S.Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Parashar, Advocate
for the complainant.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 06.03.2018, learned counsel for the State has produced in Court today four photographs, showing that the property in question, which is also stated to have been auctioned pursuant to Court orders, is in ruins. He further submits that a perusal of one of the photographs would show that the iron girders in the property were also cut away with gas cutters, and taken away.

That being the situation, the petition is dismissed and the interim order is vacated. However, nothing stated hereinabove or in the previous order passed in this petition, shall be taken to be an observation of this Court on the merits of the case either for or against the petitioner, but only in the context of the application seeking anticipatory bail.

Whether the iron girder was got cut by the petitioner or the complainant, and whether the articles stated to be stolen were actually

stolen by the petitioner, or it was only a story concocted by the complainant to put pressure on the petitioner, would be issues to be gone into by the investigating agency and then the competent Court at the appropriate stage.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

March 14, 2018.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No