

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.6671 of 2018

Date of decision : 20.07.2018

Chhinder Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

As per contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, the name of the petitioner has not been mentioned in the FIR and only on the basis of confessional statement, taken by the investigating agency forcibly by taking his signatures on some blank papers and showing to be disclosure statement made. It has been stated that he has committed theft of one purse. There is no evidence except the confessional statement to connect the petitioner with the alleged crime. Nothing is to be recovered from him.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Gurpan Singh, has opposed bail to the petitioner on the ground that other cases are there against him.

There are five more cases against the petitioner but in two cases, he has been acquitted and in three cases, he is on bail. Learned State

counsel has not been able to show anything except the confessional statement.

Accordingly, interim order dated 21.03.2018 passed in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner is found to be involved in any other case of similar nature, the State is at liberty to move application for cancellation of bail.

20.07.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No