

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-9620 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:27.07.2018

Anand Kumar Rampal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Ms. Shalini Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. S.M. Sharma, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has handed over a demand draft of ₹ Two lacs to learned counsel for complainant in Court today and the same has duly been accepted by him. Learned counsel further contends that remaining amount will be paid by the end of December, 2018.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that the complainant has no objection in case interim bail is granted to the petitioner subject to payment of remaining amount by the end of December, 2018 as undertaken by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with the same interim order as has been passed on 16.8.2017.

In case any default is there or undertaking given by learned counsel for the petitioner is not fulfilled by the end of December, 2018, State is at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail.

July 27, 2018
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No