

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6531 of 2018(O&M)

Date of Decision:23.02.2018

Sachin Mishra

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr.Anish Batra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail in case FIR No.113 dated 16.05.2016 under Sections 120/B, 406, 420, 506 IPC and under Sections 4, 6 of Prize Chit and Money Calculation Schemes Banning Act, 1978, registered at Police Station Mahesh Nagar, Ambala, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the parties have been heard.

Allegations are that number of persons from Jharkhand had made deposits of various sums of money with a company, namely, 'Millions Miles Infrastructure and Developers Ltd.' on the pretext of being given attractive returns and such money having been misappropriated.

The main accused in the case is Pushap Mohan Sharma and Lalit Jham i.e. CMD and MD of the company respectively.

Present petitioner as also one Puspinder Singh were arrayed as accused during the course of investigation on the basis of disclosure statement of main accused Pushap Mohan Sharma. Present petitioner was stated to be an employee of the company and engaged as General Manager and co-accused Puspinder Singh is stated to be an Accountant in the said company.

The present petitioner was arrested on 06.12.2017.

Investigation in the case is complete and challan has been presented and trial is at the very initial stage.

The main accused in the case, namely, Pushap Mohan Sharma and Lalit Jham were granted benefit of bail under the provisions of 437 (6) Cr.P.C.

Puspinder Singh, Accountant of the company against whom there was similar role as per disclosure statement of Pushap Mohan Sharma has been granted benefit of bail in the light of the order dated 03.02.2018 passed by learned Addl. Sessions Judge, Ambala (Annexure P-2).

It is not the case made out on behalf of the State that in case the benefit of bail is granted to the petitioner, he would be in a position to hamper the course of a free and fair trial.

Without making any observations on merit, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Disposed of.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

23.02.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No