

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6507-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 15.03.2018

Harwinder Singh @ Babu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present: Mr. Harshit Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

Petitioner seeks benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.0133, dated 08.11.2017, under Sections 420/406/379/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Dhuri, District Sangrur.

Briefly noticed, FIR has been registered on the complaint of Charanjit Singh raising allegations that co-accused Satnam Singh and who was employed as a munim/clerk had stolen certain cheques from the shop of the complainant and has misappropriated a sum of Rs.83 lakhs approximately.

Name of the present petitioner does not figure in the FIR. He is sought to be implicated on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Satnam Singh. Even as per such disclosure statement, a sum of Rs.3 lakhs is stated to have been given by Satnam to the petitioner and who in turn converted the same into the Dollars.

Petitioner was arrested on 20.12.2017.

Investigation in the case is complete and challan has been

presented. Even charges have been framed.

Trial is at the initial stage as out of 47 prosecution witnesses cited, none has been examined till date.

Without making any observations on merits, petitioner is held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioner be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

Disposed of.

15.03.2018

harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |