

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-6499-2018

Date of decision:-9.8.2018

Angrej Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Amandeep Singh Chhabra, Advocate
for the complainant.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner – Angrej Singh, an accused in FIR No.204 dated 26.11.2017, under Sections 354/323/120-B IPC and Sections 3 & 4 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012, registered at Police Station Nehianwala, District Bathinda.

Briefly stated, the facts of the case as per prosecution version are that the complainant Rajesh Kumar, Chairman, Committee Member Buta Singh, Panch and Lakha Singh had submitted a written complaint dated 26.11.2017 addressed to SHO, Police Station Nehianwala on the subject of beating up of school students by outsider boys by entering the school. *Inter alia* in the complaint, they had stated that Angrej Singh son

of Rahla Singh (present petitioner) along with some unidentified boys came to their school and gave beatings to Navdeep Singh son of Jalandhar Singh and when Darso working as Sweeper in the school tried to intervene, then a scuffle took place; that when Sukhbir Kaur d/o Nirmal Singh of 9th class was coming from her house to the school to attend her class, she was also beaten up near corner of water tank; that when Rajesh Kumar, Chairman of the school confronted the assailants, a scuffle took place. On the basis of that written complaint, formal FIR was registered. Angrej Singh had surrendered in the Court on 14.12.2017 and he was arrested in this case. He had moved an application for regular bail but the same was declined by Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda vide order dated 12.1.2018, as such, he has approached this Court with same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned State counsel besides going through the record.

The challan against the accused is pending trial and statement of prosecutrix is said to have been recorded. The conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time. The guilt of the petitioner shall be determined during trial.

Under the circumstances, I find it proper and appropriate if concession of regular bail is granted to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Chief

Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, subject to the following conditions:

- (i) he shall appear in the Court on each and every date of hearing;
- (ii) he shall not give any threat or intimidation to the prosecution witnesses;
- (iii) he shall not leave India without prior permission of the Court.

In addition to that the trial Court may impose any term and condition found suitable to ensure that the petitioner does not abscond and interfere in the trial.

In case the petitioner violates any term and condition on which the bail has been granted to him, the prosecution would be entitled to apply for cancellation of bail.

This petition stands allowed accordingly.

9.8.2018
Brij

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No