

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

CRM-M-6468-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.2.2018

Tarlok Singh

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mrs. Baljit Mann, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Code of Criminal Procedure (for short, "Cr.P.C.) for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.10 dated 2.2.2016 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 409, 120-B of Indian Penal Code (for short, "IPC") at Police Station Tarsika, District Amritsar.

Heard.

It is the case of the prosecution that the petitioner who was salesman at the relevant time of the Multi Purpose Cooperative Society, village Jabbowal in connivance with Manager-Paramjit Singh, Secretary-Jasbir Singh and two auditors of the bank misappropriated the amount to the tune of ₹ 1,55,55,746/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that said Manager namely Paramjit Singh has already been granted regular bail by this Court in CRM-M-27883-2016 vide order dated 16.9.2016. She further states that the petitioner has already in custody for the last 4 months and 12 days.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case and keeping in view the fact that conclusion of trial will take considerably long time and the fact that no useful purpose will be served by further detaining the petitioner in jail and also on the basis of parity, the present petition is allowed. Petitioner-Tarlok Singh son of Dalip Singh is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of the concerned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate subject to following terms:-

- (a) The petitioner shall comply with the conditions mentioned in Section 437(3) Cr.P.C.
- (b) In the event of his absence on any date of hearing, the benefit of bail allowed to the petitioner shall stand withdrawn. The trial Court shall be competent to cancel his bail bond and surety bond and proceed to procure his presence in accordance with law. In that eventuality the petitioner shall have to apply for bail afresh.
- (c) He shall not leave the country without the previous permission of the Court.

February 28, 2018

Paritosh Kumar

**(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No