

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.112

CRM-M-6464-2018
Date of decision : 16.02.2018

Chander Bhan and another

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek direction for protection of their life and liberty.

Notice of motion. Mr. Nishchal Mann, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to call upon respondents No.4 and 5, at this stage.

Respondent No.4 has submitted a representation dated 13.12.2017 (Annexure P-1) against the petitioners, whereas petitioner No.1 has submitted a representation dated 18.01.2018 (Annexure P-2) against respondents No.4 and 5.

The representation (Annexure P-1) has been submitted to the Hon'ble Chief Minister of the State of Haryana and therefore, I direct respondent No.4 to file a copy thereof before respondent No.2. Respondent No.2 is directed to hear the petitioners as well as respondents No.4 and 5 and decide representations (Annexures P-1 and P-2) within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Appropriate

legal action be taken against the offended party in case grounds for the same exist.

The petition is disposed of.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

February 16, 2018
Ramandeep Singh
Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No