

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR No.656 of 2015(O&M)

Date of Decision:09.03.2018

Gurlal SinghPETITIONER
Vs.

State of PunjabRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Karanbir Singh, AAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J.(Oral)

On 11.10.2017, this Court was passed the following order:-

“Instant revision petition was filed way back on 21.02.2015 i.e. more than two years and eight months back and was taken on board for the first time on 24.02.2015. Thereafter the case has been taken up in total for nine times. Eight adjournments were given on account of written or oral request of the learned counsel for the petitioner or for the reasons of his non-appearance. Even last opportunity was granted to the petitioner on 11.08.2016. Today again adjournment has been sought on account of illness of learned arguing counsel for the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 13.12.2017 subject to payment of costs of ₹10,000/- to be deposited with the State Legal Services Authority, U.T. Chandigarh.”

A perusal of the above order shows that the case has been adjourned nine times either on request or due to non-appearance of the counsel for the petitioner. Previous order also shows that the adjournment was granted subject to the payment of Rs.10,000/- as costs. However, office report shows that the costs have not been deposited by the petitioner so far. Even today, there

is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

09.03.2018
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No